

## CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI	ERY (CI)	
	RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	ARMS COMPLAINT N	O:	
AIRS ID#: 7775302 DA	TE: <u>6/3/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>8:58 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>11:00 AM</u>	
FACILITY NAME: PO	ORTABLE PLANT			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 11321 NW 138 Street			
	MEDLEY 33178			
OWNER/AUTHORIZE	D REPRESENTATIVE: E	D PACITTI PHON	<b>E:</b> (305)825-9000	
CONTACT NAME: PHONE:				
ENTITLEMENT PERIO	<b>OD:</b> 10/10/2005 / 10/9/2 (effective date) (end date)			
	COMPLIANCE STATUS	(check <b>☑</b> only one box)		
☑ IN COMPLIAN	CE MINOR Non-CO	MPLIANCE SIGNIFICA	NT Non-COMPLIANCE	
PART II: TESTING/RE (check ☑ appropriate		<u>EMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F	F.A.C.	
Stack Emissions				
1. Were visible emis 62-297, F.A.C.)?-	sions tests conducted during t	his site visit according to EPA M	lethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter 	
		ers), and other enclosed storage are emissions to 5 percent opacity?	and conveying equipment	
3. During visible em	nissions tests of the silo dust co	ollector exhaust points was the lo	pading of the silo conducted	
unless such rate is	s unachievable in practice?		\(\times Yes \) No	
to this question is	"Yes", then continue on to qu	operation controlled by the silo destions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If an	nswer is "No" then	
skip 4.a) and 4.b) a) Was the batchi	and continue on to question 5 ing operation in operation during	.)ing the visible emissions test?		
b) During the vis	ible emissions test, was the ba	tching rate representative of the		
5. If emissions from	the weigh hopper (batcher) of	peration are controlled by a dust	collector, which is separate	
		sions tests of the weigh hopper ( entative of the normal batching r	rate and duration? \Box \Box Yes \Box No	

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – (continued) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)	
(cneck <b>m</b> appropriate box(es)	
Compliance Demonstration - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)  1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the compliance demonstration? (Pula 62, 207, 210(7)(c)) F.A.C.)	
annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)	⊠Yes □ No
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)  2. Did this facility demonstrate:  a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?	□Yes □ No
b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?	n - ∐Yes ∏ No
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date?</li></ul>	to ⊠Yes □ No
Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)  4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after test was completed?	
PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C. (check ☑ appropriate box(es))	
	е 🗌
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>,</li> </ol>	ing
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing □Yes □ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary ☐; 2) a relocatable ☒; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)</li></ol>	ing  ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
<ol> <li>(check ☑ appropriate box(es))</li> <li>Is this facility: 1) a stationary □; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>Please check ☑ only one box.</i>)</li> <li>If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processing plants using individual air general permits at the same location? (<i>If your answer to this question is YES</i>, then proceed to questions 2.a), thru 2.d), below.)————————————————————————————————————</li></ol>	Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No   Yes   No   No

PART III: OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)				
(check <b>☑</b> appropriate box(es))				
<ol> <li>application of water or environmentally safe du emissions?</li> <li>removal of particulate matter from roads and ot re-entrainment, and from building or work area</li> <li>reduction of stock pile height, or installation of</li> </ol>	and yards, which shall include one or more of the follows, stock piles, and yards? ust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to controlether paved areas under control of the owner/operator that to reduce airborne particulate matter? f wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of	<ul> <li>         ∑Yes</li></ul>		
PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C.  A. New or Modified Process Equipment  1. Since the last inspection has there been  a) installation of any new process equipment?————————————————————————————————————				
FRANK DELGADO	6/3/2010			
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection			
	6/2011			
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection			
COMMENTS: WILLIAM ARLINGTON FROM ARLINGTON EMISSIONS OBSERVATIONS ON THE TWO (2) SILOS/DUFLYASH SILO. THE SILO WAS LOADED AT 10 PSI. I DIE	OUST COLLECTORS. I WITNESSED ONE VE TEST	T ON THE		

THIRTY MINUTES TEST.
I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY.